IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR NO.541 of 2007
DATE OF DECISION: February 1, 2007

Jaswinder Singh

….Petitioner

VERSUS

Gurpreet Kaur

….Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

PRESENT: Shri BPS Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

The husband is petitioner before this Court. He has challenged the order passed by the trial Judge, whereby on an application filed by the wife under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage an amount of Rs.2,000/- per month has been fixed as maintenance pendente-lite.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, I do not find that the amount of maintenance awarded by the trial Judge is in any way excessive.

No case for exercise of revisional jurisdiction by this Court is made out.

Dismissed.

February 1, 2007
KD

(Viney Mittal)
Judge